

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1642-01
Bill No.: Perfected HB 708
Subject: Interstate Cooperation; Federal - State Relations; Sovereign or Official Immunity
Type: Original
Date: April 19, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Missouri public policy on choice of law issues regarding foreign law, legal codes, or systems.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** state this proposal would specify that any court, tribunal, arbitration, or administrative agency decision or ruling violates the public policy of Missouri and would be void if the court, tribunal, arbitration, or administrative agency bases its decision or ruling, in whole or in part, on foreign law or legal code that would not grant the parties affected by the decision or ruling the same rights granted under the Missouri Constitution or the United States Constitution.

DNR assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General** assumes that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture, Department of Economic Development, Department of Transportation, Office of State Courts Administrator, Administrative Hearing Commission, Department of Public Safety, Office of Administration, and Department of Conservation** assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

Oversight assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Administrative Hearing Commission
Department of Agriculture
Office of Administration
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Economic Development
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Public Safety
Department of Conservation
Office of the Attorney General



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
April 19, 2011